Public Health Association of Australia: Policy-at-a-glance – Nuclear Industry Policy

Key message:
1. The nuclear industry presents unnecessary dangers to human health and the environment.
2. Australian governments should work towards ending uranium mining, and export. Australian governments should maintain policies of no nuclear power generation.
3. A public inquiry should be held into all aspects of radioactive waste management, prior to any decision about long-term management.
4. Australia should move to non-reactor based sources of radioisotopes required for nuclear medicine.

Summary:
There are potential health risks associated with all aspects of the nuclear industry, and there a viable alternatives, including for production of radioisotopes used in nuclear medicine.

Audience: Federal, State and Territory Governments, policy makers and program managers.

Responsibility: PHAA’s Ecology and Environment Special Interest Group (SIG).

Date policy adopted: September 2016

Contacts: Dr Peter Tait, Convenor, Ecology and Environment SIG
PHAA Policy Statement on: Nuclear Industry

Nuclear Industry Policy Statement

Refer also to PHAA policies on Ecologically Sustainable Human Society, Safe Climate and Nuclear Weapons.

The Public Health Association of Australia notes that:

1. There are potential health risks associated with every link in the nuclear industry chain including mining and processing of uranium, generation of nuclear power, waste management and weapons development. Evidence for health risks through exposure to ionising radiation is particularly strong for workers in nuclear power generation and fuel reprocessing.\(^1\,^2\,^3\)

2. There is no known absolute safe level of exposure to ionising radiation to avoid health risks.\(^4\)

3. There are inextricable links along the nuclear chain between the nuclear power industry, waste management, nuclear weapons proliferation and the risk of nuclear war. In an age of increasing globalisation and political tension, nuclear facilities provide a source of nuclear material for the production of weapons.\(^5\) While it may be possible to regulate nuclear weapons in nation states, there is a risk of terrorist and other non-state actors acquiring nuclear material.\(^5\)

4. As humanity faces increasing environmental degradation and climate change, the threat of nuclear weapons makes the disengagement from the nuclear industry one of the highest priorities for protecting humanity, complex society and the environment.\(^6\)

5. Nuclear power cannot mitigate global warming.\(^6\)

6. The nuclear chain increases radioactive contamination of the environment.\(^7\)

7. There are inherent risks of radioactive spillage and environmental contamination in transporting nuclear waste.\(^8\)

8. The nuclear industry poses even greater risk of adverse impact to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders in Australia, as for indigenous people elsewhere. Because Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples are already the most disadvantaged group in Australia, and less likely to benefit from the nuclear industry, their voices should be actively listened to on nuclear industry issues.\(^9\)

9. Radioisotopes required for nuclear medicine can be produced safely and efficiently without the use of nuclear reactors.\(^10\)

The Public Health Association of Australia affirms the following principles:

10. Expansion of the nuclear industry is not in the interests of the health of people in Australia and globally.

11. Nuclear medicine does not require nuclear reactors in Australia.
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The Public Health Association of Australia believes that the following steps should be undertaken:

12. That all Australian State and Territory governments should introduce policies that prohibit further development of uranium mining.

13. The Australian government should not grant or renew any further uranium export licences.

14. The Australian government should maintain a policy of no nuclear power generation.

15. A public inquiry should be held into all aspects of radioactive waste management, prior to any decisions about long-term management.

16. The locating of any radioactive waste management facility should only proceed with full and informed local community and relevant State and Territory consent, including communities through which waste is to be transported.

17. In line with international trends, Australia should move to non-reactor based sourcing of nuclear medicine isotopes.

18. The Australian government should support research and development of alternative isotope production and diagnostic technologies.

The Public Health Association of Australia resolves to undertake the following actions:

19. Oppose expansion of all aspects of the nuclear industry in Australia, in particular mining and imported waste management.

20. Support communities in their opposition to hosting radioactive waste management facilities, except where there is genuine community support and there is no risk to public health.

21. Collaborate with other organisations with similar aims.


First adopted at the 2010 Annual General Meeting of the Public Health Association of Australia. The latest revision has been undertaken as part of the 2016 policy review process.
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