



Public Health Association of Australia:

Policy-at-a-glance – Nuclear Industry Policy

- Key message:**
1. That all Australian governments should introduce a no further uranium mining policy in their jurisdictions and the Commonwealth Government should introduce policy to not grant any further uranium export licences.
 2. The Australian government should not renew uranium export licences for existing mines which produce uranium on expiry of current contracts.
 3. That the Commonwealth government should maintain a policy of no nuclear power generation, and should commence the closure of the Lucas Height Nuclear Reactor.
 4. That the locating of any radioactive waste management facility should only proceed with full and informed local community and relevant State and Territory consent- this includes communities through which waste is proposed to be transported.
 5. In line with international trends that Australia move to non-reactor based sourcing of nuclear medicine isotopes and that the Australian government support research and development in alternative isotope production and diagnostic technologies.

Summary: There are public health risks associated with the nuclear chain, particularly in relation to nuclear power generation and reprocessing. This policy seeks to outline a series of principles and tangible actions designed to mitigate these risks.

Audience: Australian, State and Territory Governments, policy makers and program managers.

Responsibility: PHAA's Environmental Health Special Interest Group (SIG)

Date policy adopted: September 2010

Contact: Dr Peter Tait, Convenor, Environmental Health SIG - aspetert@bigpond.com



NUCLEAR INDUSTRY POLICY

The Public Health Association of Australia notes that:

1. There are public health risks associated with the nuclear chain (mining, processing, nuclear power, waste and weapons proliferation) particularly in relation to nuclear power generation and reprocessing.^{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6.}
2. There is no known safe levels of exposure to ionising radiation to avoid health risks.⁷
3. The links all along the nuclear chain between the nuclear power industry, waste production, nuclear weapons proliferation and hence the risk of nuclear war, are inextricable.
4. In a time of environmental degradation and climate change, the threat of nuclear weapons makes the disengagement from the nuclear industry one of the highest priorities for protecting humanity, complex society and the environment.¹⁸
5. The consequences to the environment of the nuclear chain include increased radioactive contamination of the environment.⁸
6. The risks of radioactive spillage and environmental contamination, however low, are inherent in transporting nuclear waste.⁹
7. The nuclear power industry is not able to mitigate global warming.^{10, 11, 12, 15, 16}
8. In an age of increasing globalisation and political tension, nuclear facilities provide a source of nuclear material for terrorists to use in weapons.^{12, 13, 14}
9. There is a greater risk of adverse impact on Indigenous people in Australia, as elsewhere, from the nuclear industry. Because Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples are already the most disadvantaged group in Australia, and less likely to benefit from the nuclear industry, their voices should be actively listened to on nuclear industry issues.¹⁹

The Public Health Association of Australia affirms the following principle that:

10. Expansion of the nuclear industry is not in Australia's or the world's health interest.

The Public Health Association of Australia believes that the following steps should be undertaken:

11. That all Australian governments should introduce a no further uranium mining policy in their jurisdictions and the Commonwealth Government should introduce policy to not grant any further uranium export licences.
12. The Australian government should not renew uranium export licences for existing mines which produce uranium on expiry of current contracts. Where uranium is extracted in conjunction with other minerals, ongoing mines should rebury it.
13. That the Commonwealth government should maintain a policy of no nuclear power generation, and should commence the closure of the Lucas Height Nuclear Reactor.
14. That the locating of any radioactive waste management facility should only proceed with full and informed local community and relevant State and Territory consent- this includes communities through which waste is proposed to be transported.
15. In line with international trends that Australia move to non-reactor based sourcing of nuclear medicine isotopes and that the Australian government support research and development in alternative isotope production and diagnostic technologies.¹⁷

The Public Health Association of Australia resolves to undertake the following actions:

The Public Health Association of Australia will:

16. oppose expansion of all aspects of the nuclear industry in Australia, in particular mining and waste disposal from overseas.
17. oppose the location in the absence of community approval of any radioactive waste management facility.
18. collaborate with other organisations with similar aims.
19. act to further implement its related policy on Climate Change.

ADOPTED 2010

This policy was developed and adopted as part of the 2010 policy review process.

References:

1. E Cardis, M Vrijheid, M Blettner et al. Risk of cancer after low doses of ionising radiation: retrospective cohort study in 15 countries (BMJ, doi:10.1136/bmj.38499.599861.E0 (published 29 June 2005)).
2. **Garwin**, Richard L., 2001, "Can the World Live With Nuclear Power?" www.nci.org/conf/garwin/index.htm quoted in Green, J *Nuclear Power No Solution to Climate Change*, FoEA, ACF, Greenpeace et al, 2005.
3. Zaire R, Notter M, Thiel E, (1997) Unexpected rates of chromosome instabilities and alteration of hormone levels in Namibian uranium miners. *Radiation Research* 147: 579-584, www.rrjournal.org
4. WISE-Paris, 2001, "Possible Toxic Effects from the Nuclear Reprocessing Plants at Sellafield and Cap de la Hague", European Parliament Directorate General for Research, www.wise-paris.org/english/stoa_en.html Quoted in Green, J *Nuclear Power No Solution to Climate Change*, FoEA, ACF, Greenpeace et al, 2005.
5. BEIR Committee, 2005, National Research Council - Board on Radiation Research Effects, "*Health Risks from Exposure to Low Levels of Ionizing Radiation (BEIR VII - Phase 2)*", <www.nap.edu/books/030909156X/html>, <www.nap.edu/catalog/6230.html>)
- 6 Vakil, C & Harvey L. 2009. *Human Health Implications of Uranium Mining and Nuclear Power Generation*.
7. PHAA 2009 *Uranium & Health: Radiation and Mining* <http://www.phaa.net.au/documents/UraniumHealthPHAANTAprilv22009.zip.ppt>
8. Raymond-Wishes, Mayer, L. O'Neil T, et al 2007 *Drinking Water with uranium below the US EPA Water standard causes Estrogen receptor- Dependent responses in female Mice*. *Environmental Health Perspectives* Vol115(12) December 2007 pp1711-1716
Williams, B 2008 *Radiation & Health fact sheet 12* energyscience.org.au
9. NSW Parliament Joint Select Committee on the Transportation and Storage of Nuclear Waste 2004.
10. Tait, P A Brief Overview on *Why Nuclear Power is Not The Answer to Global Warming* May05 at <http://www.dea.org.au/> in Reports
11. Green, J *Nuclear Power No Solution to Climate Change*, FoEA, ACF, Greenpeace et al, 2005
12. Caldicott, H 2006 *Nuclear power is not the answer to global warming or anything else*. Melbourne University Press.

13. Roberts, Alan ABC Radio Interview with Terry Lane Nuclear power and climate change, Sunday 17 April 2005, at <http://www.abc.net.au/rn/talks/natint/stories/s1345468.htm>
14. Barnaby, Frank, *Nuclear Connections*, paper presented at the Medical Association for Prevention of War Conference *Nuclear Terror; 60 years on*, Melbourne, August 2005.
15. Pete Roche, April 2005, *Is Nuclear Power a Solution to Climate Change* <www.no2nuclearpower.org.uk/reports/index.php> or direct download: <www.no2nuclearpower.org.uk/reports/Nuclear_Power_April_05v2.pdf>
16. Jan W Storm van Leeuwen Feb 2007 *Nuclear power - the energy balance*. at <<http://www.stormsmith.nl/>>
17. Government of Canada 2010 *Government of Canada Response to Report of the Expert Review Panel on Medical Isotope Production*
18. International Physicians for the Prevention of Nuclear War 2010 Basel Statement 19th World Congress Statement "*IPPNW convenes in Basel to pursue nuclear abolition for a future*" <<http://www.ippnw-europe.org/?expand=580&cHash=d67de68932>>
- 19 Statement of the PreCongress 'Sacred Land, Poisoned Peoples' to the 19th IPPNW World Congress *Rebecca WingfieldBear (Australia) and Charmaine White Face (USA) to the Closing Plenary, August 29, 2010, at the University of Basel, in Basel, Switzerland.*